MALLOW, KONSTAM & HAGER, P.C Gerold Mallow (GMM8832) 321 Broadway, 5th Floor New York, New York 10007

Attorneys for the Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GENERAL MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a New York Corporation and PENTHOUSE DIGITAL MEDIA PRODUCTIONS, INC. a New York Corporation,

07 CIV. 8523(SAS)

Plaintiffs,

Answer of Defendants G.Q. ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation and HORIZON MEDIA GROUP, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation

G.Q. ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation and HORIZON MEDIA GROUP, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation,

Defendants.

Defendants, G.Q. ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation and HORIZON MEDIA GROUP, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation by their attorneys Mallow, Konstam & Hager, P.C., hereby respond to plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:

1. The averments of this paragraph are conclusions of law and need not be answered.

:

:

- 2. The averments of this paragraph are conclusions of law and need not be answered.
- Admitted.
- Admitted.
- Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
 - 6. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief

as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.

- 7. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 8. Admit that the defendant conducted business in Connecticut of two stores pursuant to a writing which document speaks for itself.
- 9. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 10. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 11. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 12. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 13. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 14. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 15. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 16. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
- 17. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
 - 18. Denied except admit that a writing may have been entered into between the

parties which document speaks for itself.

- 19. Denied except admit that a writing may have been entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 20. Denied except admit that a writing may have been entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 21. Denied except admit that a writing may have been entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 22. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 23. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 24. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 25. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
 - 26. Denied.
 - 27. Denied.
 - 28. Denied.
 - 29. Denied.
 - 30. Denied.
 - 31. Denied.
- 32. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
 - 33. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which

document speaks for itself.

- 34. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
- 35. Denied except admit that a writing was entered into between the parties which document speaks for itself.
 - 36. Denied.
 - 37. Denied.
- 38. Denied except admits receipt of a writing dated November 20, 2006 from PENTHOUSE DIGITAL MEDIA PRODUCTIONS, INC.
 - 39. Denied.

AS TO THE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 40. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 39 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 41. Denied.
 - 42. Denied.
 - 43. Denied.
 - 44. Denied.
 - 45. Denied.
 - 46. Denied.

AS TO SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 47. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 46 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 48. Denied.

- 49. Denied.
- 50. Denied.

AS TO THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 51. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 50 as though set forth fully herein.
- 52. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
 - 53. Denied
 - 54. Denied
 - 55. Denied
 - 56. Denied

AS TO FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 57. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 56 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 58. Denied.
 - 59. Denied.
 - 60. Denied.

AS TO FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 61. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 60 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 62. Denied.
 - 63. Denied.
 - 64. Denied.

- 65. Denied.
- 66. Denied.
- 67. Denied.
- 68. Denied.
- 69. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
 - 70. Denied.

AS TO SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 71. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 70 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 72. Denied.
 - 73. Denied.
 - 74. Denied.
 - 75. Denied.
 - 76. Denied.
- 77. Denied-answering defendants are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth contained therein and therefore, same is denied.
 - 78. Denied.

AS TO SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 79. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through78 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 80. Denied.
 - 81. Denied.

- 82. Denied.
- 83. Denied.

AS TO EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 84. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 83 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 85. Denied.
 - 86. Denied.
 - 87. Denied.
 - 88. Denied.

AS TO NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 89. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 88 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 90. Denied.
 - 91. Denied.
 - 92. Denied.
 - 93. Denied.

AS TO TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 94. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through93 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 95. Denied.
 - 96. Denied.

- 97. Denied.
- 98. Denied.
- 99. Denied.
- 100. Denied.

AS TO ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 101. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 100 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 102. Denied.
 - Denied. 103.

AS TO TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 104. Answering defendants incorporate by reference its answer to paragraph 1 through 103 as though set forth fully herein.
 - 105. Denied.
 - Denied. 106.
 - 107. Denied.

AS AN FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATION DEFENSE

That the plaintiff terminated the Hartford agreement on November 27, 2006. 1.

AS AN FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATION DEFENSE

That the plaintiff failed to provide service as was required under the writings 2.

between the parties.

AS AN FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATION DEFENSE

3. Payment.

WHEREFORE, answering defendants G.Q. ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation and HORIZON MEDIA GROUP, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation demand judgment in their favor and against the claim asserted by plaintiff's against them.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerold Mallow

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Answering defendants demand a jury trial on all of the triable issues in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerold Mallow

Dated: New York, New York December 28, 2007